C.F. 1002

3

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P. No. 2278/2005

PETITIONER

Mukesh Kumar Tiwari S/o Late Rajendra Prasad Tiwari, aged about 26 years, R/o H-1/16 Nutan Colony Sarkanda, Bilaspur, Distt.- Bilaspur (C.G.), Lab Assistant, Semen (C.G.)

W.P. No. 3128/05 Presented by Shri D.K. Shah Adv. dated 6/7/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhatissgarh Through The Secretary Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur. (Chhattisgarh)

RECEIVED COPY 6.7.05 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Director Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

3. The Joint Director Veterinary Services, Bilaspur, (Chhattisgarh)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUE AN APPROPRIATE WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS/CERTIORARI ETC. OR DIRECTION/ORDER IN THE LIKE ANTURE ETC.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 2978/05 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	10-2-2006 Shri Rakesh Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri G.K. Beriwal, Dy. Advocate General, for the State. Heard. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for equal pay for equal work, which has been denied. He further submits that the case of the petition is similar to that of Mannulal Dewangan and others, which has been decided by the State Administrative Tribunal vide order dated 10/12/1999 (Annex.P/4) passed in O.A. No.170/99. He also submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law. Prayer is not opposed by the State counsel. Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition and this order, within three weeks from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within three months from the date of receipt of the representation. In case the petitioner is required to be heard, he may be heard. If the grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available at law. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	